



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,673	02/23/2004	Conceicao Minetti	00518-105025US3	3568
65989	7590	04/09/2012		
KING & SPALDING 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036-4003				
EXAMINER				
DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1645				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/09/2012		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptomailnyc@kslaw.com

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/785,673	MINETTI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	S. DEVI, Ph.D	1645	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) S. DEVI (USPTO). (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Attorney Kenneth Sonnenfeld. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 29 & 30 March 2012.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: Applicants' claims filed 06/30/10.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☒ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others  
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: All examined claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Substance of Interview**  
 (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

The allowable subject matter and the acceptable claim language were discussed. The need to issue an Examiner's amendment was conveyed. Attorney Sonnenfeld was requested that he call back the Examiner of record by noon on 02 April 2012 with regard to the Examiner's amendment.

**Applicant recodation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recodation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/S. DEVI, Ph.D/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645	03-30-2012
--	------------